Team Project Questionnaire

Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_Steven Glasford\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_03-26-2018\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Course Number: \_\_\_\_\_CSC-215\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Instructor: \_\_\_\_\_Schrader\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_Program 2 - SRT Editor\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Your Partners Name: #1 \_\_\_\_\_Steven Glasford\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Your Partners Name: #2 \_\_\_\_\_Justin Nielson\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Your Partners Name: #3 \_\_\_\_\_Joao Pessoa\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Part I. Work Distribution

Did you do your share of the work (worst) 1 2 3 4 5 (best)

Did partner #1 do their share of the work (worst) 1 2 3 4 5 (best)

Did partner #2 do their share of the work (worst) 1 2 3 4 5 (best)

Did partner #3 do their share of the work (worst) 1 2 3 4 5 (best)

How was the work partitioned? Be specific.

*For the srtList class stuff, the work was mostly portioned very evenly, as in each person had about the same amount of work to do, but for the rest of the work I was gone in Pittsburgh for a school sponsored convention, so I couldn’t help making the menu class for the most part. However, on the last full day of the assignment being assigned we met up once more this time for debugging the program and documentation. Again this final meeting had mostly the same work distribution as the first meeting, which was spread fairly evenly.*

Part II. Communications and teaming

What were the strengths of the team?

*The strengths of the team were that we are all very successful student alone, so having one person out of the loop for a little bit didn’t really harm the resultant program. Also a strength of the team was our ability to communicate effectively through the use of text messaging to set up meetings as well as keeping everyone, even if they were not present, up to date on the progress of the program.*

What were the weaknesses of the team?

*The team didn’t have many weaknesses, the only major one was that we are all very involved in clubs or other community activities, I for example went to Pittsburgh with a club during this time, this obviously has a couple downsides, like having to use text messaging or some other indirect communication, as compared to meeting everyone face to face for example.*

How was the Communication within the group?

Part III.

Would you work with partner #1 again (worst) 1 2 3 4 5 (best)

Would you work with partner #2 again (worst) 1 2 3 4 5 (best)

Would you work with partner #3 again (worst) 1 2 3 4 5 (best)

What would you do differently next time?

*I might have made sure the project was mostly completed before I went to Pittsburgh.*

Additional Comments you would like to make on this assignment.

*It was very fun, and might even be useful in the future.*